16. PLANNING APPEALS REPORT (A.1536)

1. APPEALS LODGED

The following appeals have been lodged during this month.

| <u>Reference</u> | <u>Details</u> | Method of Appeal | Committee/ Delegated |
|-----------------------------|--|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| NP/DDD/0522/0704 3320562 | Proposed erection of triple garage with home office above at Torr Farm, Leadmill, Hathersage | Written Representations | Delegated |
| NP/DDD/0423/0394 3331185 | Dwelling house at Cherry Blossom Farm, Middleton Lane, Stoney Middleton | Written Representations | Delegated |

2. APPEALS WITHDRAWN

There have been no appeals withdrawn during this month.

3. APPEALS DECIDED

The following appeals have been decided during this month.

| <u>Reference</u> | <u>Details</u> | <u>Method of</u> Appeal | <u>Decision</u> | <u>Committee/</u> Delegated |
|-----------------------------|---|----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| NP/DDD/0323/0272 3325030 | Extension to garage and roofing over yard at Jasmine Cottage, Main Street, Birchover | Householder | Allowed | Delegated |

The Inspector considered that the overall massing of the garage would not be inappropriate, and the roller shutter door would not adversely affect the architectural quality of the building or its value to the Conservation Area as they are regularly seen in residential areas, as well as heritage sensitive locations. The appeal was allowed.

| NP/DDD/0822/1079 Conversion and char 3317880 of use of existing bar storage building and yard into residential of at Stanley Lodge, Gr Hucklow | Representations | ed Committee |
|---|-----------------|--------------|
|---|-----------------|--------------|

The Inspector considered that the proposed development would have an unacceptable harmful effect on the character and appearance of the host building, and would conflict with polices GSP1, GSP3 and L3 of the Core Strategy and DMC3, DMC5, DMC10 and DMH8 of the Development Management Policies. The appeal was dismissed.

The Inspector considered that the proposal did not fit with the overall form and layout of its surroundings and would harm the intrinsic character of the host dwelling, as well as the wider harm to the character and appearance of the locality and the significance of the Conservation Area. The appeal was dismissed.

| 3324116 al bi | xtensions and Iterations to existing uilding at The Old Chapel, Heathcote | Householder | Dismissed | Delegated |
|------------------|--|-------------|-----------|-----------|
|------------------|--|-------------|-----------|-----------|

The Inspector considered that the proposal would be a sizeable projection to the main elevation. The scale and mass of the new built form would combine to give the appearance of an overly large addition, and would jar with the simple proportions of the main building. The appeal was dismissed.

4. **RECOMMENDATION:**

To note the report.